

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/774,438	01/30/2001	Theodore R. Cobum	18334-8261002	3483
35557	7590 04/14/2003			
CHIRS A. CASEIRO			EXAMINER	
ONE PORTLA	ID DANA, LLP AND SQUARE		NUTTER, NATHAN M	
PORTLAND,	ME 04112-0586		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	10
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				21				
		Application No	Applicant(s)					
		09/774,438	COBURN, THE	HEODORE R.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Nathan M. Nutt						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation app ars on the cov	r sheet with th correspondenc	address				
THE   - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho ication.  days, a reply within the statutory nory period will apply and will expiral, by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timely filed  ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time  re SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thing to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	is communication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>21 March 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	)☐ This action is non-	·final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
· ·	on of Claims							
	Claim(s) 23-32 is/are pending in the a							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
_ <u></u> _	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>23-32</u> is/are rejected.							
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction  ion Papers	on and/or election requir	ement.					
	The specification is objected to by the E	- - - - -						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		cted to by the Evaminer					
.0,	Applicant may not request that any object			a)				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed of			,				
, ,	If approved, corrected drawings are requi		,— ,,					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been red	ceived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* <u>c</u>	application from the Internat	ional Bureau (PCT Rule		nal Stage				
	*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
_a	)   The translation of the foreign langu	uage provisional applica	ition has been received.	пагарріісаціоп).				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachmen	` '	<b>√</b> L	7 Interniture 0	M-7-X				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application ( Other:	• •				

Application/Control Number: 09/774,438

Art Unit: 1711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The rejection of the claims as being anticipated by the teachings of either Josephy et al, Hirata et al, Nakano et al or by Rosenbaum et al are all hereby expressly withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 23-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The identities of the "structural polymeric material", "second polymeric material", "third polymeric material (claims 29-32)", and "printable material" which are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claims is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). These entities are not defined in the Specification so as to understand exactly what is included in the claims. The Specification refers to what is termed examples of each of the "structural polymeric material" and "second polymeric material" but, further, asserts that "other materials…may be used" without specifying what those other materials may embrace. The recitation of "including a printable material" in line 2 of claim 23 is vague in that it is not clear whether the "printable material" is the "second polymeric material" or an added constituent. The practitioner in

Application/Control Number: 09/774,438

Art Unit: 1711

the art would be required to perform the undue burden of experimentation to determine what may be embraced by these recitations. This is deemed true especially since compatibilities of the resins involved must be established for any blend of polymeric components, since all polymer blends are not compatible.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 23-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The instant claims fail to adequately teach what may be included as the proper metes and bounds of the claims with regards to the "structural polymeric material", "second polymeric material", "third polymeric material (claims 29-32)", and "printable material". The Specification refers to what is termed examples of each of the "structural polymeric material" and "second polymeric material" but, further, asserts that "other materials... may be used" without specifying what those other materials may embrace. The recitation of "including a printable material" in line 2 of claim 23 is vague in that it is not clear whether the "printable material" is the "second polymeric material" or an added constituent. As such, the instant claims are deemed to be vague and confusing to a practitioner in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/774,438

Art Unit: 1711

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3 April 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Counsel amends the claims, but fails to show how the claims, as amended, overcome the rejections of the claims, as set out above.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 703-308-2443. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 1711

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

nmn April 13, 2003